UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,557	12/19/2005		Andes Monzon	60,469-242; OT-5132 SWO	9849
Theodore W O	7590 lds	06/27/2007		EXAM	INER
Carlson Gasek			PICO, ERIC E		
Suite 350 400 W Maple I	Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Birmingham, N			3654		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•			06/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/561,557	MONZON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Eric Pico	3654 .		

	Life i ico	•	3034	·
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover s	heet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONE	ITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as fil ving replies: (1) an a tice of Appeal (with	ing a Notice of amendment, af appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41:31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejec	ction.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a)	ater than SIX MONTH	S from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corres shortened statutory pe than three months af	ponding amount riod for reply orig	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 C	FR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date	of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ocauso.
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	•		ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		icarcii (see 140	TE DCIOW),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding num	ber of finally re	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		otice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		l in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected:	•			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				,
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejection	ons under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of th	e claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	et doos NOT place t	ha annliaatian i	n appdition for allows	nee heee
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	·		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper	No(s)	~ 1 -	
13.			2469	
·		Ġ	otor M Cunmo	
		Sunerv	isory Patent Ex ami	nar
		•	nology Center 3600	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument regarding the combination of the patent Nakagaki et al. and Orrmann et al. is not persuasive.